

UNITED STATI

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*	
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE 18 06/25	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
08/670,1	06/20	/96 FODOR	S 16528X-0005
			EXAMINER
TOWNSENT	O AND TOWNS	18M2/0506 END AND CREW	ZITOMER S
TWO EMBA	ARCADERO CE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
8TH FLOO	OR NCISCO CA 9	41112024	1007 5
OHN FRAN	VC13CO CH 3	4111-3034	1807
			DATE MAILIED: 05/06/97
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF PA		charge of your application. MARKS	
		OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to commu	inication(s) filed on	6-25-96	
This action is FINAL.			
		llowance except for formal matters, prosecut l arte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ion as to the merits is closed in
•		_	-
hortened statutory peri	od for response to	this action is set to expire	mentin(s), or thirty days?
		his communication. Failure to respond within S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obta	
36(a).		and the contract of the contra	
, , ,			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
sposition of Claims	c 21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
sposition of Claims Claim(s) (-10 gl 2)	6-31		is/are pending in the application.
sposition of Claims Claim(s) $\frac{(-10)^{g^{1}}}{2}$ Of the above, claim(s)		Jr.	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s)		Р.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)		P.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	26-31	are	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s)	26-3 ce of Draftsperson'	are : s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s)	20-3 ce of Draftsperson's	are : s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objecte	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) 1-10 g 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Figure 1	26-3/ ce of Draftsperson' n g correction, filed or	are : s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objecte	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The drawing(s) filed of the proposed drawing	26-3/ ce of Draftsperson's n g correction, filed or ojected to by the Ex	are : s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are objecte naminer.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The drawing(s) filed of the proposed drawing The specification is observed.	26-3/ ce of Draftsperson' n g correction, filed or ojected to by the Ex	are : s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are objecte naminer.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) 1-10 g 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The drawing(s) filed of the proposed drawing The specification is of the oath or declaration for the under 35 U.S.C.	ce of Draftsperson's n g correction, filed or objected to by the Ex on is objected to by \$ 119	are: s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objecte aminer. the Examiner.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) (-10 g/ 2) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The drawing(s) filed or the proposed drawing The specification is of the oath or declaration or the oath or declaration or the drawing or the oath or declaration	ce of Draftsperson's g correction, filed or jected to by the Ex on is objected to by \$ 119	are seems patient Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are objecte naminer. the Examiner. oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) (-10 g/ 2) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The drawing(s) filed or the proposed drawing The specification is of the oath or declaration or the oath or declaration or the drawing or the oath or declaration	ce of Draftsperson's g correction, filed or jected to by the Ex on is objected to by \$ 119	are: s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objecte aminer. the Examiner.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) (-10 g/ 2) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The drawing(s) filed or the proposed drawing The specification is of the oath or declaration or the oath or declaration or the drawing or the oath or declaration	ce of Draftsperson's g correction, filed or jected to by the Ex on is objected to by \$ 119	are seems patient Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are objecte naminer. the Examiner. oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	ce of Draftsperson's n g correction, filed or ojected to by the Ex on is objected to by \$ 119 nade of a claim for f	are seems patient Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are objecte naminer. the Examiner. oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	ce of Draftsperson's g correction, filed or ojected to by the Exon is objected to by 119 ande of a claim for filed or cation No. (Series Correction)	are: s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948is/are objecte n aminer. the Examiner. oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	ce of Draftsperson's g correction, filed or pjected to by the Ex on is objected to by \$ 119 ade of a claim for f None of the Correction No. (Series Correction No. (Series Correctional stage applicational stage applicational stage applications.)	are seems of the priority documents he code/Serial Number)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	ce of Draftsperson's g correction, filed or opected to by the Exon is objected to by § 119 ade of a claim for f None of the Correction No. (Series Correction No. (Series Correctional stage applicational stage applicationed:	are seems of the priority documents he code/Serial Number)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) (-10 g) 20 Of the above, claim(s) Claim	ce of Draftsperson's g correction, filed or opected to by the Exon is objected to by § 119 ade of a claim for f None of the Correction No. (Series Correction No. (Series Correctional stage applicational stage applicationed:	are separated by a separate se	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examirier. is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	ce of Draftsperson's n g correction, filed or opjected to by the Exon is objected to by \$ 119 nade of a claim for fi None of the Cortional stage applicational stage applicational of a claim for cortional stage applicational of a claim for cortional of a claim for cort	are separated by a separate se	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. subject to restriction or election requirement d to by the Examirier. is approved disapproved.

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Serial Number: 08/670,118 Page 2

Art Unit: 1807

RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a composition comprising a plurality of positionally distinguishable sequence specific reagents, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1;
- II. Claims 26-31, drawn to a method of identifying nucleotide differences between a target sequence and a reference sequence, classified in class 435, subclass 6

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition can be used in a method for screening compounds for reaction with the subunits in the polymer.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired separate status in the art due to their divergent subject matter restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. As indicated by the reasons for restriction the search for both inventions would not be coextensive because the scope of the claimed inventions differs.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: polynucleotide and polypeptide subunit sequences.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Serial Number: 08/670,118 Page 3

Art Unit: 1807

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to B.J. Sadoff on April 10, 1997 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephanie Zitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3985. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Page 4

Stephanie W. Zitomer, Ph.D. May 5, 1997

STEPHANIE W. ZITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER